



Applicant(s)

Jiang, et al.

Interview Summary Examiner

Mary B. Tung

Group Art Unit 1644

|--|

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personn	nel):
(1) Mary B. Tung	(3)
(2) Ms. Barbara A. McDowell	(4)
Date of Interview	
Type: a) 🕅 Telephonic b) 🔝 Tideo Conference c) 🗌 Personal [copy is given to 1) 📑 applicant 2) 🖆	oplicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)	b. If yes, brief description:
	<u> </u>
Claim(s) discussed: None	
Identification of prior art discussed:  None	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)	was not reached. h) N盥.
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature other comments:	of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any
A message was left on the Applicants' voice mail that the response Applicants were also informed that the case would be transferred	e after final (filed June 28, 2001) has been received. The to a different Examiner due to a potential conflict of interest
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments summary thereof must be attached.)	which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, ents that would render the claims allowable is available, a
i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate rec	ord of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WINCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP salready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of the Interview.	section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an  $\mbox{\sc Attachment}$  to a signed Office action.

MARY B. TUNG
PATENT EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1644